

Table of Contents

Section	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction.....	1-1
1.1	Purpose of the Applicant-Prepared Draft Biological Assessment	1-1
1.2	Proposed Action.....	1-1
1.3	Regulatory Framework	1-8
	1.3.1 Endangered Species Act	1-8
	1.3.2 Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act	1-9
1.4	Interrelated and Interdependent Actions	1-10
1.5	Species Evaluated	1-10
2.0	Background.....	2-1
2.1	Consultation History for the Proposed Action.....	2-1
	2.1.1 Informal ESA-Consultation Meetings	2-4
2.2	Key Consultation Considerations	2-5
	2.2.1 NMFS 2005 Biological Opinion (Yuba River Development Project License Amendment).....	2-5
	2.2.2 NMFS 2014 Biological Opinion (USACE’s Operation and Maintenance of Daguerre Point Dam).....	2-6
	2.2.3 NMFS 2014 Letter of Concurrence (USACE’s Operation and Maintenance of Englebright Dam).....	2-8
2.3	Other Activities.....	2-9
	2.3.1 Lower Yuba River Accord.....	2-9
	2.3.2 NMFS Recovery Planning	2-11
	2.3.3 Yuba Salmon Forum	2-12
	2.3.4 Yuba Salmon Partnership	2-12
	2.3.5 Yuba Accord Monitoring and Evaluation Program	2-14
	2.3.6 Habitat Expansion Plan.....	2-15
	2.3.7 Other Yuba River Basin FERC Relicensing Efforts.....	2-16
2.4	Summary of Past and Ongoing Fisheries Studies on the Lower Yuba River ...	2-17
	2.4.1 Spring-run Chinook Salmon	2-17
	2.4.2 Steelhead	2-19
	2.4.3 Green Sturgeon	2-19
3.0	Description of the Proposed Action.....	3-1
3.1	Existing Project Facilities	3-1
	3.1.1 New Colgate Development.....	3-2
	3.1.2 Existing Project Boundary	3-9
	3.1.3 Existing Project Safety.....	3-9

Table of Contents (continued)

Section	Description	Page No.
	3.1.4 Existing Project Operations	3-10
	3.1.5 Existing Environmental Measures	3-21
3.2	YCWA’s Proposal	3-31
	3.2.1 Proposed Project Facilities.....	3-31
	3.2.2 Proposed Project Boundary.....	3-65
	3.2.3 Proposed Project Operations.....	3-65
	3.2.4 Proposed Environmental Conditions	3-73
3.3	Deconstruction of the Proposed Action	3-76
	3.3.1 Actions That Will Have No Effects to Listed Species or Critical Habitats	3-82
	3.3.2 Discretionary Activities That May Affect but are Not Likely to Adversely Affect Listed Species or Critical Habitat.....	3-82
	3.3.3 Proposed Action Components that May Adversely Affect Listed Species or Designated Critical Habitats.....	3-100
4.0	Description of the Action Area and Adjacent Areas	4-1
	4.1 Definition of Action Area and Adjacent Areas.....	4-1
	4.2 Adjacent Areas Upstream of the Action Area	4-1
	4.2.1 North Yuba River.....	4-1
	4.2.2 Middle Yuba River	4-1
	4.2.3 Oregon Creek	4-2
	4.2.4 Yuba River Upstream of Englebright Reservoir.....	4-2
	4.3 Action Area.....	4-2
	4.3.1 Downstream Extent of the Action Area.....	4-3
	4.3.2 Yuba River Reaches.....	4-6
	4.4 Areas Downstream of the Action Area.....	4-10
	4.4.1 Feather River.....	4-10
5.0	Status of Listed Species and Critical Habitat.....	5-1
	5.1 Central Valley Spring-run Chinook Salmon ESU	5-1
	5.1.1 ESA Listing Status	5-1
	5.1.2 Critical Habitat Designation	5-2
	5.1.3 Historical Abundance and Distribution	5-7
	5.1.4 Summary of Past and Ongoing Fisheries Studies on the Lower Yuba River	5-10
	5.1.5 General Life History and Habitat Requirements	5-11
	5.1.6 Limiting Factors, Threats and Stressors.....	5-25
	5.1.7 Viability of Central Valley Spring-run Chinook Salmon	5-75

Table of Contents (continued)

Section	Description	Page No.
	5.1.8 NMFS Recovery Plan Considerations	5-94
5.2	Central Valley Steelhead DPS	5-97
	5.2.1 ESA Listing Status	5-97
	5.2.2 Critical Habitat Designation	5-99
	5.2.3 Historical Distribution and Abundance	5-102
	5.2.4 General Life History and Habitat Requirements	5-104
	5.2.5 Limiting Factors, Threats and Stressors.....	5-113
	5.2.6 Viability of the Central Valley Steelhead DPS.....	5-128
	5.2.7 NMFS Recovery Plan Considerations	5-149
5.3	North American Green Sturgeon Southern DPS	5-149
	5.3.1 ESA Listing Status	5-149
	5.3.2 Critical Habitat Designation	5-150
	5.3.3 Historical Distribution and Abundance	5-157
	5.3.4 General Life History and Habitat Requirements	5-160
	5.3.5 Limiting Factors, Threats and Stressors.....	5-166
	5.3.6 Summary of the Current Viability of the Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon.....	5-175
	5.3.7 Recovery Considerations	5-180
6.0	Environmental Baseline	6-1
6.1	Regulations and Guidelines	6-1
6.2	Historical (Pre-Project) Overview of the Yuba River Watershed	6-2
	6.2.1 Gold Mining.....	6-3
	6.2.2 Levees, Gravel Berms and Dredging.....	6-5
	6.2.3 Dams	6-6
	6.2.4 Flows and Water Temperatures	6-6
	6.2.5 Historical Geomorphology.....	6-11
	6.2.6 Historical Vegetation	6-15
6.3	Non-Project Infrastructure, Facilities and Appurtenant Features at and Downstream of Englebright Dam	6-19
	6.3.1 USACE’s Englebright Dam and Reservoir.....	6-19
	6.3.2 USACE’s Daguerre Point Dam	6-22
	6.3.3 Waterway 13 and the Yuba Goldfields Fish Barrier Project	6-47
	6.3.4 PG&E Narrows 1 Operations.....	6-51
6.4	Physical Habitat Conditions.....	6-52

Table of Contents (continued)

Section	Description	Page No.
	6.4.1 Spatial Structure and Fluvial Geomorphology	6-52
	6.4.2 Riparian Vegetation	6-75
6.5	Fisheries Instream Habitat Conditions.....	6-82
	6.5.1 Adult Spawning Habitat.....	6-83
	6.5.2 Spring-run Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Redd Dewatering.....	6-99
	6.5.3 Spring-run Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Fry and Juvenile Rearing Habitat	6-116
	6.5.4 Fry and Juvenile Stranding and Isolation.....	6-133
6.6	Narrows 2 Operations, Flow Changes and Potential Effects to Anadromous Salmonids	6-144
	6.6.1 Operations of Narrows 2.....	6-144
	6.6.2 Potential Effects of Narrows 2 Operations on Anadromous Salmonids.....	6-148
6.7	Water Temperature Suitabilities	6-183
	6.7.1 Water Temperature Monitoring	6-186
	6.7.2 Water Temperature Modeling.....	6-190
6.8	Summary of Environmental Baseline Effects on Listed Species and Critical Habitat.....	6-194
	6.8.1 Spring-run Chinook Salmon	6-194
	6.8.2 Steelhead	6-197
	6.8.3 Green Sturgeon	6-200
7.0	Effects Assessment Methodology.....	7-1
	7.1 Effects Assessment Framework.....	7-1
	7.2 Aggregate Effects Assessment Approach and “Net Effects” Analysis	7-4
	7.2.1 Environmental Baseline Assessment	7-5
	7.2.2 Proposed Action Effects Assessment.....	7-6
8.0	Effects Analysis	8-1
	8.1 Overview.....	8-1
	8.2 Assessment of Environmental Baseline Conditions	8-1
	8.2.1 Central Valley Spring-run Chinook Salmon ESU	8-1
	8.2.2 Lower Yuba River Spring-run Chinook Salmon	8-2
	8.2.3 Central Valley Steelhead DPS	8-3
	8.2.4 Lower Yuba River Steelhead	8-3
	8.2.5 Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon	8-4
	8.2.6 Lower Yuba River Green Sturgeon	8-4

Table of Contents (continued)

Section	Description	Page No.
8.3	Effects of the Proposed Action	8-5
8.3.1	Direct and Indirect Effects	8-5
8.4	Cumulative Effects.....	8-46
8.4.1	Spring-run Chinook Salmon	8-47
8.4.2	Steelhead	8-64
8.4.3	Green Sturgeon	8-79
8.4.4	Other Future Non-Federal Activities in the Yuba River Basin.....	8-84
8.4.5	Other Activities Outside of the Yuba River Basin.....	8-95
9.0	Conclusions and Determinations	9-1
9.1	Listed Fish Species	9-2
9.1.1	Central Valley Spring-run Chinook Salmon.....	9-2
9.1.2	Central Valley Steelhead.....	9-2
9.1.3	North American Green Sturgeon	9-3
9.2	Potential Effects of the Proposed Action	9-3
9.2.1	Potential Effects to Individuals of the Listed Species	9-4
9.2.2	Potential Effects to Designated Critical Habitats.....	9-7
9.2.3	Incidental Take Considerations	9-11
9.3	Determinations.....	9-12
9.3.1	Spring-run Chinook Salmon	9-12
9.3.2	Steelhead	9-13
9.3.3	Green Sturgeon	9-13
10.0	References Cited	10-1

List of Figures

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
1.2-1.	Yuba River watershed in relation to the Feather River and other tributaries to the Sacramento River.....	1-4
1.2-2.	Yuba County Water Agency’s Yuba River Development Project and Project Vicinity.	1-5
2.3-1.	Yuba Accord North Yuba Index.	2-11
3.1-1.	Our House Diversion Dam features.....	3-2
3.1-2.	Log Cabin Diversion Dam features.	3-3

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.1-3.	Longitudinal schematic of New Bullards Bar Dam on the North Yuba River and New Colgate Power Tunnel and Powerhouse on the Yuba River.	3-5
3.1-4.	Longitudinal schematic of the Narrows 2 Powerhouse Penstock and Powerhouse.	3-8
3.1-5.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2007. The Narrows 2 Powerhouse was shut down during the period of October 1 through December 30, 2006 for the installation of the Narrows 2 Full Bypass. Siphons over Englebright Dam were used to provide flow to the Yuba River in addition to Narrows 1 Powerhouse releases. Accordingly, there is a difference between the flow from the Narrows 1 Powerhouse and Smartsville gage.	3-16
3.1-6.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2008.	3-17
3.1-7.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2009.	3-17
3.1-8.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2010.	3-18
3.1-9.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2011.	3-18
3.1-10.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2012.	3-19
3.1-11.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2013.	3-19
3.1-12.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2014.	3-20
3.1-13.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2015.	3-20

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.1-14.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water Year 2016.....	3-21
3.1-15.	YCWA’s Member Unit service areas.	3-28
3.2-1.	Concept-level plan schematic flow diagram for YCWA’s proposed New Colgate Powerhouse TDS.	3-33
3.2-2.	Concept-level plan for general arrangement for YCWA’s proposed New Colgate Powerhouse TDS.	3-34
3.2-3.	Concept-level plan for piping sections and details for YCWA’s proposed New Colgate Powerhouse TDS.....	3-35
3.2-4.	Concept-level plan and profile for YCWA’s proposed New Bullards Bar Dam Auxiliary Flood Control Outlet.....	3-41
3.2-5.	Concept-level map of construction area for YCWA’s proposed New Bullards Bar Auxiliary Flood Control Outlet.....	3-45
3.2-6.	Concept-level profile of YCWA’s proposed Our House Diversion Dam Fish Release Outlet.	3-47
3.2-7.	Concept-level profile of YCWA’s proposed Log Cabin Diversion Dam Fish Release Outlet.	3-48
3.2-8.	Concept-level details of YCWA’s proposed Lohman Ridge Inlet Control Gate and Debris Rake.	3-51
3.3-1.	Existing Project facilities and operations.....	3-78
3.3-2.	Constituent components encompassed by the Proposed Action.....	3-79
3.3-3.	Deconstruction of the Proposed Action.	3-80
4.3-1.	Map of study area showing geomorphic reach boundaries.....	4-8
4.3-2.	Map of habitat/hydrologic zone boundaries.	4-9
4.4-1.	Other aquatic habitat areas (e.g., lower Feather River) affecting Yuba River spring-run Chinook salmon, steelhead and green sturgeon throughout the ESU/DPS (YCWA et al. 2007).....	4-11
5.1-1.	Spatial distribution of all individual acoustically-tagged adult phenotypic spring-run Chinook salmon (SRCS) detections obtained from the mobile tracking surveys conducted during 2009, 2010 and 2011.....	5-14
5.1-2.	Spatial and temporal distribution of all individual acoustically-tagged adult phenotypic spring-run Chinook salmon detected from the mobile tracking surveys conducted during 2009, 2010 and 2011 in the lower Yuba River.....	5-15
5.1-3.	RMT juvenile snorkeling survey site locations on the lower Yuba River.....	5-19

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
5.1-4.	Observed densities of juvenile Chinook salmon across all survey reaches.....	5-20
5.1-5.	Observed densities of juvenile Chinook salmon during each survey month. Months sharing the same letter(s) indicate that densities were not significantly different.	5-21
5.1-6.	Overlay of the total measured stream depths and mean column water velocities at which juvenile Chinook salmon were encountered with mesohabitat characterizations. The size of the circle indicates the log10 transformed number of juveniles occurring at the total measured stream depth and mean column water velocity.....	5-22
5.1-7.	Illegal hooks used for poaching at Daguerre Point Dam.	5-49
5.1-8.	Relationship of the weekly percentage of adipose fin-clipped contribution to the weekly phenotypic spring-run Chinook salmon count at Daguerre Point Dam as function of the weekly attraction flow and water temperature indices calculated six weeks prior to the week of passage at Daguerre Point Dam.	5-55
5.1-9.	Vegetation communities in the lower Yuba River vicinity.	5-66
5.1-10.	Daily number of Chinook salmon passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2004/2005 to 2006/2007 biological years.1	5-83
5.1-11.	Daily number of Chinook salmon passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2007/2008 to 2009/2010 biological years.1	5-84
5.1-12.	Daily number of Chinook salmon passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2010/2011 to 2012/2013 biological years.1	5-85
5.1-13.	Daily number of Chinook salmon passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2013/2014 to 2015/2016 biological years.1	5-86
5.1-14.	Temporal trend and estimated annual number of phenotypic adult spring-run Chinook salmon passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam from 2004 through 2015.....	5-88
5.2-1.	Length-frequency distribution of all fish identified by the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems as <i>O. mykiss</i> passing upstream through the north and south ladders of Daguerre Point Dam from January 1, 2004 through March 31, 2015.....	5-135
5.2-2.	Length-frequency distribution of all fish identified by the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems as adipose clipped <i>O. mykiss</i> passing upstream through the north and south ladders of Daguerre Point Dam from March 1, 2009 through March 31, 2015.....	5-136

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
5.2-3.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2003/2004 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-139
5.2-4.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2004/2005 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-139
5.2-5.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2005/2006 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-140
5.2-6.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2006/2007 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-140
5.2-7.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2007/2008 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-141
5.2-8.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2008/2009 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-141
5.2-9.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2009/2010 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-142
5.2-10.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2010/2011 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-142

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
5.2-11.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2011/2012 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-143
5.2-12.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2012/2013 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-143
5.2-13.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the 2013/2014 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-144
5.2-14.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the portion of the 2014/2015 Steelhead Biological Year (August 1 through July 31).	5-144
5.2-15.	Daily counts of adult steelhead passing upstream of Daguerre Point Dam (dark green bars), and daily number of hours when the VAKI Riverwatcher™ systems were operational (light green bars), during the portion of the 2015/2016 Steelhead Biological Year that is currently available (August 1 through June 13).	5-145
6.2-1.	The “Narrows” at Parks Bar on the Yuba River in 1905.	6-5
6.2-2.	Calculated mean daily unimpaired flow (cfs) for the North, Middle and South Yuba rivers, WY 1976 - 2004.	6-8
6.2-3.	Mean daily historical flow (cfs) for the North, Middle and South Yuba rivers, WY 1976 - 2004.	6-8
6.2-4.	Mean daily flow (cfs) at the Smartsville gage from July through October of 1950 to 1959.	6-9
6.2-5.	Mean daily flow (cfs) at the Marysville gage from July through October of 1950 to 1959.	6-9
6.2-6.	Monthly average of daily Yuba River water temperatures at the Marysville gage for periods of pre- and post-Yuba River Development Project.	6-10
6.2-7.	Yuba River in the vicinity of the Dry Creek Reach in 1947 and 1970.	6-13

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
6.2-8.	Yuba River in the vicinity of the Daguerre Point Dam Reach in 1947 and 1970.....	6-14
6.2-9.	Trends in total vegetative cover for each study site by reach (i.e., all vegetation within the delineated river valley) over time.	6-17
6.2-10.	Trends in riparian vegetation cover for each study site by reach (i.e., vegetation delineated within 50 ft of the active channel) over time.	6-18
6.3-1.	Daguerre Point Dam.	6-23
6.3-2.	North fish ladders at Daguerre Point Dam.....	6-27
6.3-3.	South fish ladders at Daguerre Point Dam.....	6-28
6.3-4.	Water diversion facilities in the vicinity of Daguerre Point Dam on the lower Yuba River.	6-35
6.3-5.	Hallwood-Cordua Diversion. Image on the left shows the control gate headworks on the north abutment of Daguerre Point Dam. Image on the right shows the current v-shaped screen.	6-37
6.3-6.	Diversion headworks area for the South Yuba/Brophy Diversion Canal and Facilities.....	6-38
6.3-7.	The South Yuba-Brophy Diversion. The image on the left shows the general location of the diversion (center of picture) relative to Daguerre Point Dam. The image on the right shows an aerial view of the bypass channel, rock gabion, and diversion pool.	6-39
6.3-8.	Gradient of sweeping velocities (parallel to the rock gabion) and approach velocities (perpendicular to the rock gabion) measured along the permeable face of the gabion on June 28, 2012. Source: Bergman et al. 2013.....	6-44
6.3-9.	Browns Valley Diversion. Image shows the screen and diversion forebay.	6-47
6.3-10.	Yuba Goldfields barrier located at the outfall of Waterway 13.....	6-50
6.3-11.	Location of the Waterway 13 “leaky-dike” barrier prior to it washing out during the spring of 2011 due to high flows through the Yuba Goldfields.	6-51
6.4-1.	Longitudinal thalweg profile showing reach breaks.	6-54
6.4-2.	Discharge versus wetted floodplain for all study reaches.....	6-55
6.4-3.	Discharge versus average depth for all study reaches.	6-56
6.4-4.	Discharge versus average velocity for all study reaches.	6-56
6.4-5.	Variability of wetted area and corridor widths at the segment and reach scales for baseflow (green line), bankfull (red), and flood conditions (blue).	6-59

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
6.4-6.	Variability of entrenchment ratios among all cross-sections of the lower Yuba River.1	6-60
6.4-7.	Cumulative distribution functions for areas of in-channel bed MUs. Vertical dotted lines represent reach breaks. Diagonal dash-dot line represents uniform distribution.	6-62
6.4-8.	Lateral variability within the bankfull inundation region of morphological units normalized by the ratio of average reach width to local cross-sectional width. Green lines represent reach-scale averages and vertical dashed lines represent reach breaks.	6-64
6.4-9.	Longitudinal distribution of the mean substrate diameter. The box represents the typical range of spawning substrate sizes observed on the lower Yuba River.	6-67
6.4-10.	Longitudinal profile of mean vertical change (1999-2008) within valley-wide, 20-ft long, cross-sectional intervals. The dashed line represents the average value.	6-70
6.4-11.	Mean vertical changes (fill, scour, and net) that occurred in the regions that became each MU. The overlain green bars represent the average net change.	6-71
6.4-12.	Example of channel migration between 1999 and 2006-2008. The yellow and green combine to represent the 1999 in-channel region. The blue represents out-of-channel regions that the flow scoured to create new pathways, and the brown represents the remaining out-of-channel regions.	6-72
6.4-13.	Average annual rates of mean vertical change by process.	6-75
6.5-1.	Flows at the Marysville gage and the total area of deepwater habitat (>10 ft deep) in the Yuba River downstream of Daguerre Point Dam.	6-88
6.5-2.	Relationship between flows at the Marysville gage and green sturgeon spawning WUA (in pool morphological units only) in the Yuba River downstream of Daguerre Point Dam.	6-91
6.5-3.	Relationship between flows at the Marysville gage and green sturgeon spawning WUA (in all morphological units) in the Yuba River downstream of Daguerre Point Dam.	6-91
6.5-4.	Spring-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-93
6.5-5.	Steelhead spawning habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-95

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
6.5-6.	Simulated adult green sturgeon deepwater holding habitat exceedance during February through November for WY 1970 through 2010.	6-96
6.5-7.	Simulated green sturgeon spawning WUA (in pool morphological units only) exceedance during March through July for WY 1970 through 2010.	6-97
6.5-8.	Simulated green sturgeon spawning WUA (in all morphological units) exceedance during March through July for WY 1970 through 2010.	6-98
6.5-9.	Diagrammatic side view of a Chinook salmon redd showing the relative location and mean depth of egg pockets on the Trinity River, CA, as reported in Evenson (2001).	6-100
6.5-10.	Cumulative proportions of spring-run Chinook salmon newly-built redds observed in lower Yuba River reaches upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2009 and 2010 redd surveys.1	6-102
6.5-11.	Daily distribution of spawning temporal weighting coefficients (wd) used in the spring-run Chinook salmon redd dewatering indices.	6-103
6.5-12.	Cumulative proportions of newly-built steelhead redds observed in the lower Yuba River upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2010 redd survey. Circles represent the observed cumulative temporal distribution, and the curve is the fitted asymmetric logistic function used to derive the temporal (wd) weighting coefficients for the steelhead redd dewatering indices.	6-106
6.5-13.	Daily distribution of spawning temporal weighting coefficients (wd) used in the steelhead redd dewatering indices.	6-106
6.5-14.	Cumulative proportions of redd depths measured in the lower Yuba River during the 2011 weekly Chinook salmon redd surveys.	6-108
6.5-15.	Cumulative proportions of egg depths derived from the 2011 weekly Chinook salmon redd surveys in the lower Yuba River.	6-109
6.5-16.	Cumulative proportions of redd depths measured in the lower Yuba River during the 2010 weekly steelhead redd surveys.	6-109
6.5-17.	Cumulative proportions of egg depths derived from the 2010 weekly steelhead redd surveys in the lower Yuba River upstream of Daguerre Point Dam.	6-110
6.5-18.	Spring-run Chinook salmon fry rearing in-channel habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-119

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
6.5-19.	Spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile rearing in-channel habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-121
6.5-20.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of spring-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the average amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.	6-123
6.5-21.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.	6-125
6.5-22.	Steelhead fry rearing in-channel habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-127
6.5-23.	Steelhead juvenile rearing in-channel habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-129
6.5-24.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of steelhead fry weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.	6-131
6.5-25.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of steelhead juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.	6-133

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
6.5-26.	Average percent of all off-channel areas in the lower Yuba River experiencing the specified number of isolation events over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.....	6-143
6.6-1.	Locations of Narrows 2 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 Partial Bypass, Narrows 2 Full Bypass and Narrows 1 Powerhouse on the Yuba River in relation to Englebright Dam. In this photo, flow is being released from the Narrows 1 and 2 powerhouses, and no flow is being released from the Narrows 2 Partial Bypass or the Narrows 2 Full Bypass.....	6-145
6.6-2.	Average location (+/- 1 standard deviation) of all acoustically-tagged Chinook salmon during 2009.	6-152
6.6-3.	Average location (+/- 1 standard deviation) of all acoustically-tagged Chinook salmon during 2010.	6-152
6.6-4.	Average location (+/- 1 standard deviation) of all acoustically-tagged Chinook salmon during 2011.	6-153
6.6-5.	General location of the fish carcass observed by YCWA Operations staff on October 23, 2012 in relation to the Narrows 2 Powerhouse and Englebright Dam (yellow dot).....	6-160
6.6-6.	Fifteen-minute flows data at the Full Bypass, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, and Smartsville Gage from 12:00 on October 22, 2012 to 12:00 on October 24, 2012. The Narrows 2 Powerhouse and the Partial Bypass did not operate during this period, and spills over Englebright Dam did not occur.....	6-161
6.6-7.	Sean Hoobler of Cal Fish and Wildlife holding an adult Chinook salmon carcass found in the crevice he is kneeling in on October 25, 2012. Photo taken by John Wooster of NMFS.	6-162
6.6-8.	Fifteen-minute discharge data at the Partial Bypass, Full Bypass, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, and Smartsville gage from 12:00 on October 24, 2012 to 12:00 on October 26, 2012. The Narrows 2 Powerhouse did not operate during this period, and spills over Englebright Dam did not occur. The time the fish carcass was observed is shown.	6-163
6.6-9.	General location of the fish carcass found on October 7, 2013 in relation to the Narrows 2 Powerhouse and Englebright Dam (yellow dot). General location of fish observed in a pool on October 11, 2013 (red dot).....	6-165
6.6-10.	Specific location of the fish carcass found on October 7, 2013 before it was handled by staff.	6-165

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
6.6-11.	Physical position of the fish carcass found on October 7, 2013 before it was handled by staff.	6-166
6.6-12.	Photograph of the fish carcass found on October 7, 2013. The fish was positioned by staff for the photo.	6-166
6.6-13.	Fifteen-minute discharge data at the Partial Bypass, Full Bypass, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, and Smartsville gage from 2:00 October 6, 2013 to 2:00 October 8, 2013. The Narrows 2 Powerhouse did not operate during this period, and spills over Englebright Dam did not occur.	6-167
6.6-14.	The pool where staff observed fish on October 11 is indicated by the blue arrow.1	6-168
6.6-15.	The pool where staff observed fish on October 11. The photo was taken on October 11 from the Narrows 2 Powerhouse deck looking south across the Yuba River.1	6-168
6.6-16.	The area of the pool is indicated by the blue arrow. The photo was taken on October 11 from the Narrows 2 Powerhouse deck looking south across the Yuba River.1	6-169
6.6-17.	Fifteen-minute discharge data at the Narrows 2 Powerhouse, Full Bypass, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, and Smartsville gage from 12:00 October 10, 2013 to 12:00 October 14, 2013. The Partial Bypass did not operate during this period, and Englebright Dam did not spill. The time the fish were observed in the pool is shown.	6-170
6.6-18.	Fifteen-minute discharge data at the Partial Bypass, Full Bypass, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, and Smartsville gage from 07:00 September 6, 2013 to 07:00 September 9, 2013. The Narrows 2 Powerhouse did not operate during this period, and spills over Englebright Dam did not occur.	6-171
6.6-19.	Fifteen-minute discharge data at the Partial Bypass, Full Bypass, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, and Smartsville gage from 12:00 a.m. September 1 to 12:00 a.m. September 3, 2014. The Partial Bypass and Full Bypass did not operate during this period and spills over Englebright Dam did not occur.	6-173
6.6-20.	The Yuba River between Narrows 2 and Narrows 1 powerhouses after the flow transition on September 1, 2014.	6-174
6.6-21.	Fifteen-minute flow data at the Narrows 2 Powerhouse, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Full Bypass and Smartsville gage from 12:00 a.m. on October 14, 2014 through 12:00 a.m. on October 16, 2014. The Partial Bypass did not operate during this period, and spills over Englebright Dam did not occur.	6-175

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
6.6-22.	Photo of Chinook salmon being released on October 15, 2014.....	6-176
6.6-23.	Fifteen-minute flow data at the Narrows 2 Powerhouse, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Full Bypass and Smartsville gage from 12:00 a.m. on December 17, 2014 through 12:00 a.m. on December 19, 2015. The Partial Bypass did not operate during this period, and spills over Englebright Dam did not occur.....	6-177
6.6-24.	Fifteen-minute flow data at the Narrows 2 Powerhouse, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Full Bypass and Smartsville gage from 12:00 a.m. on May 26, 2015 through 12:00 a.m. on May 28, 2015. The Partial Bypass did not operate during this period, and spills over Englebright Dam did not occur.....	6-178
6.6-25.	Fifteen-minute flow data at the Narrows 2 Powerhouse, Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Full Bypass and Smartsville gage from 12:00 a.m. on October 6, 2015 through 12:00 a.m. on October 8, 2015. The Partial Bypass did not operate during this period, and spills over Englebright Dam did not occur.....	6-179
6.6-26.	Adult Chinook salmon in the Full Bypass Pool as observed from the deck of Narrows 2 Powerhouse.	6-180
6.6-27.	Adult salmon being released into the Narrows 2 Pool on October 26, 2015.....	6-181
6.7-1.	Monitored lower Yuba River water temperatures and lifestage-specific spring-run Chinook salmon upper tolerable water temperature index values.	6-188
6.7-2.	Lower Yuba River monitored water temperatures and steelhead upper tolerable water temperature index values.	6-189
6.7-3.	Lower Yuba River monitored water temperatures and green sturgeon upper tolerable water temperature index values.....	6-190
7.1-1.	Conceptual model of the assessment framework (Modified from NMFS 2004a).....	7-3
8.3-1.	Spring-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-7
8.3-2.	Spring-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-11

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
8.3-3.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of spring-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the average amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.	8-13
8.3-4.	Spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-15
8.3-5.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.	8-17
8.3-6.	Frequency of off-channel area isolation events over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-19
8.3-7.	Exceedance probability of specified daily stage reductions (cm/day) from April 1 through July 15 under the Proposed Action and the Environmental baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation.	8-24
8.3-8.	Steelhead spawning habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-27
8.3-9.	Steelhead fry in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-31
8.3-10.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of steelhead fry weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.	8-33
8.3-11.	Steelhead juvenile in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-34

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
8.3-12.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of steelhead juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by WYT of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.....	8-36
8.3-13.	Simulated adult green sturgeon deepwater holding habitat exceedance during February through November for WY 1970 through 2010 under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-41
8.3-14.	Simulated green sturgeon spawning WUA (in all morphological units) exceedance during March through June for WY 1970 through 2010 under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-42
8.3-15.	Simulated green sturgeon spawning WUA (in pool morphological units only) exceedance during March through July for WY 1970 through 2010 under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-43
8.4-1.	Spring-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat duration over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-48
8.4-2.	Spring-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-52
8.4-3.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of spring-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline scenarios over the 33-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.....	8-54
8.4-4.	Spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-55

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
8.4-5.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.....	8-57
8.4-6.	Frequency of off-channel area isolation events over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-59
8.4-7.	Exceedance probability of specified daily stage reductions (cm/day) from April 1 through July 15 under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation.....	8-63
8.4-8.	Steelhead spawning habitat duration over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-66
8.4-9.	Steelhead fry in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-69
8.4-10.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of steelhead fry weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by WYT of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.....	8-71
8.4-11.	Steelhead juvenile in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-73
8.4-12.	Comparison of the average amount (ac) of steelhead juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$ cfs.....	8-75

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
8.4-13.	Simulated adult green sturgeon deepwater holding habitat exceedance during February through November for WY 1976 through 2008 under the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-80
8.4-14.	Simulated green sturgeon spawning WUA (in all morphological units) exceedance during March through July for WY 1976 through 2008 under the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-81
8.4-15.	Simulated green sturgeon spawning WUA (in pool morphological units only) exceedance during March through July for WY 1976 through 2008 under the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-82
8.4-16.	Aggregate mines in the Yuba Goldfields (Source: SMGB 2014).....	8-87
8.4-17.	Conceptual simulation reclaimed areas in the Yuba Goldfields resulting from implementation of Western Aggregate’s Amended Reclamation Plan (SMGB 2014).	8-90

List of Tables

Table No.	Description	Page No.
2.3-1.	Yuba Accord flow schedules.	2-10
3.1-1.	Key information regarding Yuba River Development Project powerhouses.....	3-1
3.1-2.	Key information regarding Yuba River Development Project reservoirs and impoundments.	3-2
3.1-3.	Typical distribution of flows under normal operations (i.e., excluding brief transition periods) among Narrows 2 Powerhouse (generation only), Partial Bypass, Full Bypass and Narrows 1 Powerhouse.	3-15
3.1-4.	New Bullards Bar Reservoir flood storage space allocation in thousands of acre-feet.	3-24
3.1-5.	Water right licenses held by YCWA for operation of the Project for power generation.	3-26
3.1-6.	YCWA’s annual contract amounts and places of delivery.	3-27
3.1-7.	YCWA’s water supply contract shortage provisions.....	3-29
3.1-8.	YCWA historical sales from 1987 to 2016.....	3-30

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.2-1.	Primary Project Roads and Trails (non-recreation roads and trails) included in the Yuba River Development Project.	3-62
3.2-2.	Recreation roads included in the Yuba River Development Project.	3-63
3.2-3.	Proposed additions to Primary Project Roads and Trails (non-recreation roads and trails) included in Yuba River Development Project by Development.....	3-64
3.2-4.	Proposed additions to and withdrawals of Recreation Roads associated with the New Colgate Development. YCWA does not propose any changes to Recreation Roads and Trails associated with the New Bullards Bar Minimum Flow Development or the Narrows 2 Development.	3-64
3.2-5.	Summary of land ownership within the proposed Yuba River Development Project FERC Project Boundary by Project Development and difference as compared to existing FERC Project Boundary.....	3-65
3.2-6.	Smartsville Hydrological Index Water Year Types and associated thresholds.	3-66
3.2-7.	North Yuba Index1 Schedules and associated thresholds.....	3-66
3.2-8.	Proposed Project flow requirements for the Middle Yuba River downstream of Our House Diversion Dam by Smartsville Hydrological Index Water Year Type.....	3-67
3.2-9.	Proposed Project flow requirements for Oregon Creek downstream of Log Cabin Diversion Dam by Smartsville Hydrological Index Water Year Type.....	3-67
3.2-10.	Proposed Project Flow Requirements for the North Yuba River downstream of New Bullards Bar Dam by Smartsville Hydrological Index Water Year Type.....	3-68
3.2-11.	Proposed Project whitewater boating flows below Our House Diversion Dam.	3-69
3.2-12.	Proposed Project flow requirements for the Yuba River downstream of Narrows 2 Powerhouse and Narrows 2 Full Flow Bypass by North Yuba Index Flow Schedule.	3-70
3.2-13.	Maximum flow reductions corresponding to the maximum 5-day average release (Base Flow) that has occurred during the period extending from September 1 through December 31.	3-71
3.2-14.	Maximum flow reductions corresponding to the maximum 5-day average release (Base Flow) that has occurred during the period extending from January 1 through May 31.....	3-71

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.2-15.	Maximum flow reductions corresponding to the preceding day average flow that has occurred during the period extending from April 1 through July 15.....	3-72
3.2-16.	Drought management options that may require a variance to one or more FERC license conditions.....	3-72
3.2-17.	List of YCWA’s proposed conditions and the Relicensing Participants that YCWA understands agree with YCWA’s proposed conditions, as provided in Appendix E2 of the Amended FLA. A green shaded row indicates a condition that YCWA and the Forest Service have reached tentative agreement on as a FPA § 4(e) potential condition. A yellow shaded row indicates a condition that YCWA and Cal Fish and Wildlife or USFWS have reached tentative agreement on as a FPA § 10(j) potential recommendation. A white shaded row indicates a condition that has not been collaboratively agreed to between YCWA and agencies.....	3-74
4.3-1.	Geomorphic reaches in the Yuba River downstream from Englebright Dam.....	4-6
4.3-2.	Hydrologic zone boundaries established for habitat modeling in the Yuba River downstream from Englebright Dam.....	4-7
5.1-1.	Lifestage-specific periodicities for spring-run Chinook salmon in the lower Yuba River.....	5-12
5.1-2.	The number of stressors according to the categories of “Very High,” “High,” “Medium,” and “Low” that occur in the lower Yuba River, or occur out-of-basin, by lifestage for the lower Yuba River population of spring-run Chinook salmon.	5-44
5.1-3.	Annual number of spring-run and fall-run Chinook salmon estimated to have passed upstream of Daguerre Point Dam, and the estimated annual percentage of spring-run Chinook salmon relative to all Chinook salmon each year.....	5-87
5.1-4.	Estimated numbers of Chinook salmon, ad-clipped and non ad-clipped phenotypic spring-run Chinook salmon that passed upstream of Daguerre Point Dam annually from 2004 through 2015.....	5-89
5.2-1.	Lifestage-specific periodicities for steelhead in the lower Yuba River.....	5-105
5.2-2.	The number of stressors according to the categories of “Very High”, “High”, “Medium”, and “Low” that occur in the lower Yuba River, or occur out-of-basin, by lifestage for the lower Yuba River population of steelhead.	5-122

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
5.2-3.	Releases of hatchery steelhead by the Feather River Fish Hatchery.	5-137
5.3-1.	General Timeline of Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon Life History, From Egg to Adult, With Length-Lifestage Information Provided (NMFS 2016a).....	5-160
5.3-2.	Estimates of Adult Green Sturgeon Presence and Abundance (NMFS 2016a).	5-176
6.2-1.	Sediment production from hydraulic mining in the Sierra Nevada.	6-4
6.2-2.	Exports of water from Yuba River Sub-basins from WY 1990 through 2016 averaged by WY type.	6-7
6.2-3.	Changes in total vegetative cover (i.e., all vegetation within the delineated river valley) for each study site by reach (measured in ft ² per year).....	6-16
6.2-4.	Changes in riparian vegetation cover (i.e., vegetation delineated within 50 ft of the active channel) for each study site by reach (measured as ft ² per year).....	6-17
6.4-1.	Wetted channel area and width as a function of discharge.	6-54
6.4-2.	Hierarchical classification of the lower Yuba River based on Rosgen (1994) stream types.....	6-57
6.4-3.	Reaches of the lower Yuba River with geomorphic delineations.....	6-58
6.4-4.	Average widths per reach as a function of discharge.	6-59
6.4-5.	Percentages of most abundant in-channel bed morphological units per reach.....	6-61
6.4-6.	Percent of unit types adjacent to starting unit (left column) for all in-channel bed MU polygons. Green highlighted boxes represent greater-than-random preference probabilities (>> 12.5 percent); Yellow boxes represent near-random adjacency probabilities (about 12.5 percent); Pink boxes represent greater-than-random avoidances (<< 12.5 percent).....	6-62
6.4-7.	Mean longitudinal spacing of morphological units by absolute distance (ft) and normalized distance (channel widths) at the segment scale.	6-63
6.4-8.	Array of MUs that provide some minimum percent of potential areas for salmonid lifestages.....	6-69
6.4-9.	Segment and reach scale comparisons of net annual sediment volume changes.....	6-69
6.4-10.	Total scour, fill, and net volumetric changes that occurred to create each MU, ordered from most net fill to most net scour.1	6-70
6.4-11.	Comparison of in-channel and out-of-channel scour/fill rates.	6-72

List of Tables (continued)

No.	Description	Page No.
6.4-12.	Relative percent of each scour and fill process. Values represent percent of annual displaced sediment volume from either scour or fill processes.....	6-73
6.4-13.	Abundant processes at the reach scale. The percentages represent the ratio of sediment volume displaced by the noted process to the total volume of sediment displaced (scour plus fill).....	6-73
6.4-14.	Abundant processes at the MU scale. The percentages represent the ratio of sediment volume displaced by the noted process to the total volume of sediment displaced (scour plus fill).....	6-74
6.5-1.	Areal extent of deepwater pool habitat availability in the Yuba River downstream of Daguerre Point Dam.....	6-87
6.5-2.	Flows at the Marysville gage and corresponding green sturgeon spawning WUA (in pool morphological units) in the Yuba River downstream of Daguerre Point Dam.....	6-90
6.5-3.	Flows at the Marysville gage and corresponding green sturgeon spawning WUA (in all morphological units) in the Yuba River downstream of Daguerre Point Dam.....	6-90
6.5-4.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon spawning WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-92
6.5-5.	Long-term and WYT average steelhead spawning WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-94
6.5-6.	Number and proportion of newly-built Chinook salmon redds observed in the reaches upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2009 and 2010 weekly redd surveys used to derive the temporal weighting coefficients (wd) for the spring-run Chinook salmon redd dewatering indices.....	6-101
6.5-7.	Number and proportion of newly-built Chinook salmon redds observed in morphological units located upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2009 and 2010 weekly redd surveys used as the spatial weighting coefficients (wh).	6-104
6.5-8.	Number and proportion of newly-built steelhead redds observed in the reaches upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the steelhead 2010 weekly redd surveys used to derive the temporal weighting coefficients (wd) for the steelhead redd dewatering indices.....	6-105
6.5-9.	Number and proportion of newly-built steelhead redds observed in morphological units located upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2010 weekly redd surveys used as the spatial weighting coefficients (wh) for the steelhead redd dewatering indices.....	6-107

List of Tables (continued)

No.	Description	Page No.
6.5-10.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Environmental Baseline scenario relative to the Without-Project scenario.	6-115
6.5-11.	Estimated steelhead redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Environmental Baseline scenario relative to the Without-Project scenario.	6-116
6.5-12.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon fry rearing in-channel WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.....	6-118
6.5-13.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile rearing in-channel WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.....	6-120
6.5-14.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 41-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of spring-run Chinook salmon fry rearing habitat, under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios.....	6-122
6.5-15.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 41-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile rearing habitat, under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios.....	6-124
6.5-16.	Long-term and WYT average steelhead fry rearing in-channel WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-126
6.5-17.	Long-term and WYT average steelhead juvenile rearing in-channel WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	6-128
6.5-18.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 41-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of steelhead fry rearing habitat, under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios.....	6-130
6.5-19.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 41-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of steelhead juvenile rearing habitat, under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios.....	6-132
6.5-20.	Off-channel areas in the lower Yuba River upstream of Daguerre Point Dam.	6-138

List of Tables (continued)

No.	Description	Page No.
6.5-21.	Off-channel areas in the lower Yuba River downstream of Daguerre Point Dam.	6-138
6.5-22.	Flows at which off-channel areas disconnect from the Yuba River upstream of Daguerre Point Dam.	6-140
6.5-23.	Flows at which off-channel areas disconnect from the Yuba River downstream of Daguerre Point Dam.....	6-140
6.6-1.	Typical distribution of flow under normal operations (i.e., excluding brief change-over periods) among Narrows 2 Powerhouse (generation only), Narrows 2 Partial Bypass, Narrows 2 Full Bypass, and Narrows 1 Powerhouse.	6-146
6.6-2.	2009 individual fish movements in relation to changes in flow at the Smartsville gage.....	6-155
6.6-3.	2010 individual fish movements in relation to changes in flow at the Smartsville gage.....	6-156
6.6-4.	2011 individual fish movements in relation to changes in flow at the Smartsville gage.....	6-157
6.6-5.	Summary of combined surface observations during the visual observation events on September 9 and October 1, 2013.....	6-172
6.7-1.	Spring-run Chinook salmon lifestage-specific upper tolerable water temperature index values.	6-185
6.7-2.	Steelhead lifestage-specific upper tolerable water temperature index values.	6-186
6.7-3.	Green sturgeon lifestage-specific water temperature index value ranges.	6-186
6.7-4.	Difference in simulated water temperature exceedance probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Environmental Baseline, relative to the Without-Project scenario.....	6-192
6.7-5.	Difference in simulated water temperature exceedance probabilities for steelhead lifestages under the Environmental Baseline, relative to the Without-Project scenario.....	6-192
6.7-6.	Difference in simulated water temperature exceedance probabilities for green sturgeon lifestages under the Environmental Baseline, relative to the Without-Project scenario.....	6-193
6.8-1.	Non-flow dependent stressors and associated magnitudes to spring- run Chinook salmon in the lower Yuba River under the Environmental Baseline.....	6-197
6.8-2.	Non-flow dependent stressors and associated magnitudes to steelhead in the lower Yuba River under the Environmental Baseline.....	6-200

List of Tables (continued)

No.	Description	Page No.
8.3-1.	Long-term and water year type average spring-run Chinook salmon spawning WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline.	8-6
8.3-2.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Proposed Action relative to the Environmental Baseline.	8-8
8.3-3.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Proposed Action relative to the Environmental Baseline for those days in the 41-year period of record during which the flow reduction criteria specified in Proposed Condition AR9 would apply.	8-9
8.3-4.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-10
8.3-5.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 41-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of spring-run Chinook salmon fry rearing habitat, under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline.....	8-12
8.3-6.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-14
8.3-7.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 41-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile rearing habitat, under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline.....	8-16
8.3-8.	Difference in simulated water temperature exceedance probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Proposed Action scenario relative to the Environmental Baseline scenario.	8-20
8.3-9.	Allowable maximum flow reductions from the previous day average flow during the period extending from April 1 through July 15 (from 3 in AR9).....	8-23
8.3-10.	Non-flow dependent or water temperature stressors and associated magnitudes to spring-run Chinook salmon in the lower Yuba River under both the Environmental Baseline and the Proposed Action.	8-25
8.3-11.	Long-term and water year type average steelhead spawning WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline.	8-26

List of Tables (continued)

No.	Description	Page No.
8.3-12.	Estimated steelhead redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Proposed Action relative to the Environmental Baseline.	8-28
8.3-13.	Estimated steelhead redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Proposed Action relative to the Environmental Baseline, for those days in the 41-year period of record during which the flow reduction criteria specified in Proposed Condition AR9 would apply.....	8-29
8.3-14.	Long-term and WYT average steelhead fry in-channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-30
8.3-15.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 41-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of steelhead fry rearing habitat, under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline.	8-32
8.3-16.	Long-term and WYT average steelhead juvenile in-channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-33
8.3-17.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 41-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of steelhead juvenile rearing habitat, under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline.....	8-35
8.3-18.	Difference in simulated water temperature exceedance probabilities for steelhead lifestages under the Proposed Action scenario, relative to the Environmental Baseline scenario.	8-38
8.3-19.	Non-flow dependent or water temperature stressors and associated magnitudes to steelhead in the lower Yuba River under both the Environmental Baseline and Proposed Action.	8-40
8.3-20.	Difference in simulated water temperature exceedance probabilities for green sturgeon lifestages under the Proposed Action scenario relative to the Environmental Baseline scenario.	8-45
8.4-1.	Long-term and water year type average spring-run Chinook salmon spawning WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-47
8.4-2.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environmental Baseline.	8-49

List of Tables (continued)

No.	Description	Page No.
8.4-3.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environmental Baseline for those days in the 33-year period of record during which the flow reduction criteria specified in Proposed Condition AR9 would apply.	8-50
8.4-4.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-51
8.4-5.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 33-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of spring-run Chinook salmon fry rearing habitat, under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline.....	8-53
8.4-6.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-54
8.4-7.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 33-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile rearing habitat, under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline.....	8-56
8.4-8.	Difference in simulated water temperature exceedance probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Cumulative Condition scenario relative to the Environmental Baseline scenario.	8-60
8.4-9.	Non-flow dependent or water temperature stressors and associated magnitudes to spring-run Chinook salmon in the lower Yuba River under both the Environmental Baseline and the Cumulative Condition.....	8-64
8.4-10.	Long-term and water year type average steelhead spawning WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.	8-65
8.4-11.	Estimated steelhead redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environmental Baseline.....	8-67
8.4-12.	Estimated steelhead redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environmental Baseline, for those days in the 33-year period of record during which the flow reduction criteria specified in Proposed Condition AR9 would apply.	8-68

List of Tables (continued)

No.	Description	Page No.
8.4-13.	Long-term and WYT average steelhead fry in-channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-68
8.4-14.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 33-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of steelhead fry rearing habitat, under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline.....	8-70
8.4-15.	Long-term and WYT average steelhead juvenile in-channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline scenarios.....	8-72
8.4-16.	Long-term average WUA (ac) over the 33-year period of evaluation and WYT-specific relative contribution to the long-term average WUA of steelhead juvenile rearing habitat, under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline.....	8-74
8.4-17.	Difference in simulated water temperature exceedance probabilities for steelhead lifestages under the Cumulative Condition scenario, relative to the Environmental Baseline scenario.....	8-76
8.4-18.	Non-flow dependent or water temperature-related stressors and associated magnitudes to steelhead in the lower Yuba River under both the Environmental Baseline and Cumulative Condition.....	8-79
8.4-19.	Difference in simulated water temperature exceedance probabilities for green sturgeon lifestages under the Cumulative Condition scenario relative to the Environmental Baseline scenario.....	8-83

List of Attachments

Attachment A YCWA’s Proposed Conditions and Associated Rationale Statements

Page Left Blank