

<u>Amended Application for New License</u> <u>Major Project – Existing Dam</u>

Applicant-Prepared Draft Essential Fish Habitat Assessment

Security Level: Public

Yuba River Development Project FERC Project No. 2246

June 2017

©2017, Yuba County Water Agency All Rights Reserved

Table of Contents Description

Section	on No.		Description	Page No.
1.0	Introd	luction		1-1
	1.1	Purpo	se of the Essential Fish Habitat Assessment	1-5
	1.2		atory Framework	
		1.2.1	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Ac	t (16
			U.S.C. §1801-1891d)	1-5
2.0	Essen	tial Fish	Habitat Background	2-1
	2.1		Initial EFH Designation	
	2.2	2008 1	EFH Designation Codification	2-5
	2.3	NMFS	S 2011 5-Year Review of EFH for Pacific Coast Salmon	2-5
	2.4	Pacifi	c Coast Salmon FMP Amendment 18	2-5
	2.5	Pacifi	c Coast Salmon FMP Amendment 19	2-6
3.0	Consu	ultation	History	3-1
	3.1	EFH (Consultations for the Proposed Action	3-1
	3.2	Key C	Consultation Considerations	3-5
		3.2.1	NMFS 2005 Biological Opinion (Yuba River Development ProLicense Amendment)	
		3.2.2	NMFS 2014 Biological Opinion (USACE's Operation and Maintenance of Daguerre Point Dam)	3-6
		3.2.3	NMFS 2014 Letter of Concurrence (USACE's Operation and Maintenance of Englebright Dam)	3-9
		3.2.4	Other Activities	3-10
4.0	Descr	iption o	f the Proposed Action	4-1
	4.1	Existi	ng Project Facilities	4-1
		4.1.1	New Colgate Development	4-2
		4.1.2	Existing Project Boundary	4-9
		4.1.3	Existing Project Safety	4-9
		4.1.4	Existing Project Operations	4-9
		4.1.5	Existing Environmental Measures	4-20
	4.2	YCW.	A's Proposal	4-29
		4.2.1	Proposed Project Facilities	4-29
		4.2.2	Proposed Project Boundary	4-63
		4.2.3	Proposed Project Operations	4-67
		4.2.4	Proposed Environmental Measures	4-74
5.0	Descr	iption o	f the EFH Action Area	5-1
	5.1	NMFS	S Designation of EFH	5-1
	5.2	FERC	's Defined Action Area	5-5

Table of Contents (continued) Description

Secti	on No.		Description	Page No.
		5.2.1 5.2.2	Yuba River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam and Yuba River Downstream of Englebright Dam and Reserve	
	5.3	Down	stream Extent of the Action Area	5-11
		5.3.1	Feather River	5-16
		5.3.2	Sacramento River	5-17
		5.3.3	Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	5-17
		5.3.4	Pacific Ocean	
6.0	Speci	es Mana	aged under the Pacific Coast Salmon Fisheries Management	Plan 6-1
	6.1	Centra	al Valley Fall-/Late Fall-run Chinook Salmon ESU	6-1
		6.1.1	General Life History and Habitat Requirements	6-2
		6.1.2	Historical Distribution and Abundance	6-6
		6.1.3	Population Status and Trends	6-10
	6.2	Limiti	ng Factors, Threats and Stressors	6-15
		6.2.1	Pacific Coast Salmon	6-15
		6.2.2	Central Valley Chinook Salmon	6-17
		6.2.3	Yuba River Chinook Salmon	6-20
7.0	Overv	view of	Chinook Salmon EFH Components in the Action Area	7-1
	7.1	Yuba	River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam	7-1
	7.2	Yuba	River Downstream of Englebright Dam	7-2
	7.3	Migra	tory Habitat (Adult Upstream and Juvenile Downstream)	7-3
		7.3.1	Yuba River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam	7-4
		7.3.2	Downstream of Englebright Dam	7-22
	7.4	Spawi	ning and Embryo Incubation Habitat	7-42
		7.4.1	Yuba River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam	7-43
		7.4.2	Downstream of Englebright Dam	
	7.5	Juven	ile Rearing Habitat	7-79
		7.5.1	Complex Channels and Floodplain Habitats	
		7.5.2	Thermal Refugia	
		7.5.3	Yuba River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam	
		7.5.4	Downstream of Englebright Dam	
8.0	•		Potential Effects of the Proposed Action on Essential cies	Fish Habitat for
	8.1	EFH A	Assessment Approach	8-1
		8.1.1	Habitat Descriptors	

Table of Contents (continued) Section No. **Description** Page No. 8.2 Summary of Existing EFH Conditions (Environmental Baseline Condition).....8-3 Yuba River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam8-3 8.2.2 Yuba River Downstream of Englebright Dam......8-20 8.3 Potential Effects of the Proposed Action on EFH in the Action Area.....8-27 Yuba River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam8-30 8.3.1 8.3.2 Yuba River Downstream of Englebright Dam......8-55 8.4 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions that Potentially 8.4.1 8.4.2 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions......8-92 Yuba River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam8-95 8.4.3 8.4.4 Yuba River Downstream of Englebright Dam......8-105 Other Future Activities in the Lower Yuba River8-136 8.4.5 8.4.6 9.0 Summary of Anticipated Effects of the Proposed Action on EFH in the 9.1 Action Area 9-1 Yuba River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam9-1 Yuba River Downstream of Englebright Dam......9-3 Summary of Anticipated Effects of the Cumulative Condition on EFH in the 9.2 Action Area......9-4 Yuba River Watershed Upstream of Englebright Dam9-4 Yuba River Downstream of Englebright Dam......9-5 9.2.2 Determination 10-1 10.0 References 11-1 11.0 **List of Figures** Figure No. **Description** Page No. 3.2 - 1.4.1-1. Our House Diversion Dam features......4-2 4.1-2. 4.1-3. Longitudinal schematic of New Bullards Bar Dam on the North Yuba River and New Colgate Power Tunnel and Powerhouse on the Yuba River......4-6 Longitudinal schematic of Narrows 2 Powerhouse Penstock and 4.1-4. Powerhouse. 4-8

List of Figures (continued) Description

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
4.1-5.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narrofacilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2007. The Narrows 2 Powerhouse was shut down during the peri October 1 through December 30, 2006 for the installation of the Na 2 Full Bypass. Siphons over Englebright Dam were used to provide to the Yuba River in addition to Narrows 1 Powerhouse relactionary, there is a difference between the flow from the Narro Powerhouse and Smartsville gage.	Year od of rrows e flow eases. ows 1
4.1-6.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narro facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2008	Year
4.1-7.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narro facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2009.	Year
4.1-8.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narro facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2010	Year
4.1-9.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narro facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2011	Year
4.1-10.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narro facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2012	Year
4.1-11.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narro facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2013	Year
4.1-12.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narro facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2014	Year
4.1-13.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narro facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2015	Year
4.1-14.	Historical mean daily discharge from Narrows 1 Powerhouse, Narro facilities, the Smartsville gage, and Englebright Dam spill in Water 2016	Year
4.1-15.	YCWA's Member Unit service areas.	4-26
4.2-1.	Concept-level plan schematic flow diagram for YCWA's proposed Colgate Powerhouse TDS.	
4.2-2.	Concept-level plan for general arrangement for YCWA's proposed Colgate Powerhouse TDS.	

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
4.2-3.	Concept-level plan for piping sections and details for YCWA's propose New Colgate Powerhouse TDS.	
4.2-4.	Concept-level plan and profile for YCWA's proposed New Bullards B Dam Auxiliary Flood Control Outlet	
4.2-5.	Concept-level map of construction area for YCWA's proposed Ne Bullards Bar Auxiliary Flood Control Outlet.	
4.2-6.	Concept-level profile of YCWA's proposed Our House Diversion Da Fish Release Outlet.	
4.2-7.	Concept-level profile of YCWA's proposed Log Cabin Diversion Da Fish Release Outlet.	
4.2-8.	Concept-level details of YCWA's proposed Lohman Ridge Inlet Contr Gate and Debris Rake.	
5.1-1.	Chinook salmon EFH in California (PFMC 2014).	5-4
5.2-2.	Map of study area showing geomorphic reach boundaries	
5.2-3.	Map of habitat/hydrologic zone boundaries	5-10
5.3-1.	Other EFH areas affecting Yuba River spring- and fall-run Chinos salmon throughout the ESUs.	
7.3-1.	Mean daily water temperatures in the North Yuba River downstream New Bullards Bar Dam (RM 22.4) and upstream of the Middle YuRiver confluence (RM 0.1) in WYs 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012	ba
7.3-2.	Mean daily water temperatures in the Middle Yuba River from Our Hou Diversion Dam to the North Yuba River confluence during WYs 200 2010, 2011 and 2012.	9,
7.3-3.	Mean daily water temperatures in the Yuba River from the Middle at North Yuba rivers downstream to above the normal-maximum water surface elevation of the USACE's Englebright Reservoir during WY 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012.	er- Ys
7.3-4.	Locations of Narrows 2 Powerhouse, Narrows 2 Partial Bypass, Narrows Full Bypass and Narrows 1 Powerhouse on the Yuba River in relation Englebright Dam. In this photo, flow is being released from the Narrow 1 and 2 powerhouses, and no flow is being released from the Narrows Partial Bypass or the Full Bypass	to ws 2
7.3-5.	Monitored lower Yuba River water temperatures and spring-run Chinocal salmon upper tolerable water temperature index values	
7.3-6.	Monitored lower Yuba River water temperatures and fall-run Chinocalmon upper tolerable water temperature index values	
7.4-1.	North Yuba River – New Bullards Bar Dam Reach – Monthly habit exceedance results shown as AUC for rainbow trout spawning – Node 0.	

List of Figures (continued) Description

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
7.4-2.	Middle Yuba River – Oregon Creek Reach – Monthly habitat exceedend results shown as AUC for rainbow trout spawning.	
7.4-3.	Yuba River – Middle/North Yuba Reach – Monthly habitat exceedance results shown as AUC for rainbow trout spawning.	
7.4-4.	Yuba River – New Colgate Powerhouse Reach – Monthly habit exceedance results shown as AUC for rainbow trout spawning	
7.4-5.	Longitudinal distribution of the mean substrate diameter. The borepresents the typical range of spawning substrate sizes observed on the lower Yuba River	ne
7.4-6.	Spring-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat duration over the 41-ye hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Proje scenarios	ct
7.4-7.	Fall-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat duration over the 41-ye hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Proje scenarios.	ct
7.4-8.	Diagrammatic side view of a Chinook salmon redd showing the relative location and mean depth of egg pockets on the Trinity River, CA, reported in Evenson (2001)	as
7.4-9.	Cumulative proportions of spring-run Chinook salmon newly-built redo observed in lower Yuba River reaches upstream of Daguerre Point Daduring the 2009 and 2010 redd surveys.1	m
7.4-10.	Daily distribution of spawning temporal weighting coefficients (wd) use in the spring-run Chinook salmon redd dewatering indices	
7.4-11.	Cumulative proportions of Chinook salmon newly-built redds observed lower Yuba River reaches upstream and downstream Daguerre Point Daduring the 2009 and 2010 redd surveys (circles and squares) are corresponding fitted asymmetric logistic curves used to derive the temporal weighting coefficients for the fall-run Chinook salmon reddewatering indices.	m nd ne Id
7.4-12.	Distributions of daily temporal weighting coefficients used in the calculation of redd dewatering indices for fall-run Chinook salmos spawning upstream (green bars, wd U) and downstream (orange bars, wd D) of Daguerre Point Dam. The distribution of daily temporal weighting coefficients for the combined reach is described by the gray line (wd = wd + wd D).	on /d ng /d
7.4-13.	Cumulative proportions of redd depths measured in the lower Yuba Riveduring the 2011 weekly Chinook salmon redd surveys, and derive asymmetric logistic curve used to assess the expected redd depths of borspring-run and fall-run Chinook salmon spawning in the lower Yub River	ed th oa

List of Figures (continued) Description

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
7.4-14.	Cumulative proportions of egg depths derived from the 2011 Chinook salmon redd surveys in the lower Yuba River, and asymmetric logistic curve used to assess the expected depths of pockets for both spring-run and fall-run Chinook salmon spawnin lower Yuba River.	derived the egg g in the
7.5-1.	Overview of scores by basin, stream, and indices. Sites with symbols represent locations where insufficient organisms were c to make the resultant IBI and MMI scores reliable	ollected
7.5-2.	Spring-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing habitat durati the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseli Without-Project scenarios.	ne and
7.5-3.	Spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel rearing habitat over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Basel Without-Project scenarios.	ine and
7.5-4.	Fall-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing habitat duration of 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and V Project scenarios.	Vithout-
7.5-5.	Fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel rearing habitat durati the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseli Without-Project scenarios	ne and
7.5-6.	Comparison of the amount (acres) of spring-run Chinook salr weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Enviro Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios over the 41-year period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $> 5,000$	nmental eriod of nulation on days
7.5-7.	Comparison of the amount (acres) of spring-run Chinook salmon weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Enviro Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios over the 41-year period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $\geq 5,000$	nmental eriod of nulation on days
7.5-8.	Comparison of the amount (acres) of fall-run Chinook salm weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Enviro Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios over the 41-year period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $\geq 5,000$	nmental eriod of nulation on days

List of Figures (continued) Description Figure No. Page No. 7.5-9. Comparison of the amount (acres) of fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Project scenarios over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided on days when flows were < 5,000 cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs. 7-108 7.5-10. 7.5-11. Average percent of all off-channel areas in the lower Yuba River experiencing the specified number of isolation events over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Environmental Baseline and Without-Project 8.3-1. Spring-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline. 8-58 8.3-2. Fall-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline. 8-60 8.3-3. Spring-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline. 8-66 8.3-4. Fall-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental 8.3-5. Spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and 8.3-6. Fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 41-year hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline. 8-72 Comparison of the amounts (in acres) of spring-run Chinook salmon fry 8.3-7. weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided on days when flows 8.3-8. Comparison of the amounts (in acres) of fall-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided on days when flows

List of Figures (continued) Description

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
8.3-9.	Comparison of the amounts (in acres) of spring-run Chinook juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Pr Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year per evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full sim period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $\geq 5,000$	oposed riod of sulation on days
8.3-10.	Comparison of the amounts (in acres) of fall-run Chinook salmon journel weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Proposed and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of eval Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period years) and by water year type of total habitat provided on days when were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $\geq 5,000$ cfs	Action luation. od (all n flows
8.3-11.	Average percent of all off-channel areas in the lower Yuba experiencing the specified number of isolation events over the 4 hydrologic period for the Proposed Action and Environmental Basel	11-year
8.3-12.	Exceedance probability of specified daily stage reductions (cm/day April 1 through July 15 under the Proposed Action and the Environ baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation	mental
8.4-1.	Spring-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat duration over the 3 hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environ Baseline.	mental
8.4-2.	Fall-run Chinook salmon spawning habitat duration over the 3 hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environ Baseline.	mental
8.4-3.	Spring-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing habitat duration the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition Environmental Baseline.	on and
8.4-4.	Comparison of the amount (in acres) of spring-run Chinook salm weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Cum Condition and the Environmental Baseline over the 33-year per evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full sim period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided when flows were ≤5,000 cfs and for days when flows were >5,000 cfs	non fry nulative riod of nulation on days
8.4-5.	Spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel rearing habitat dover the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition Environmental Baseline	uration on and

List of Figures (continued) Description Page No. Figure No. 8.4-6. Comparison of the amounts (in acres) of spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs. 8-120 8.4-7. Fall-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline. 8-121 Comparison of the amounts (in acres) of fall-run Chinook salmon fry 8.4-8. weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $\geq 5,000$ cfs. 8-123 8.4-9. Fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel rearing habitat duration over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Baseline. 8-125 8.4-10. Comparison of the amounts (in acres) of fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation. Shown are the amounts over the long-term full simulation period (all years) and by water year type of total habitat provided on days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $\geq 5,000$ cfs. 8-127 8.4-11. Average percent of all off-channel areas in the lower Yuba River experiencing the specified number of isolation events over the 33-year hydrologic period for the Cumulative Condition and Environmental Exceedance probability of specified daily stage reductions (cm/day) from 8.4-12. April 1 through July 15 under the Cumulative Condition and the 8.4-13. Aggregate mines in the Yuba Goldfields (Source: SMGB 2014)......8-139 8.4-14. Conceptual simulation reclaimed areas in the Yuba Goldfields resulting from implementation of Western Aggregate's Amended Reclamation Plan **List of Tables** Table No. **Description** Page No. 2.0-1.List of 10(j) potential recommendations on which YCWA, USFWS and Cal Fish and Wildlife have reached agreement. 2-3

Table No.	Description Page No.).
3.2-1.	Yuba Accord flow schedules	1
4.1-1.	Key information regarding Yuba River Development Project powerhouses	1
4.1-2.	Key information regarding Yuba River Development Project reservoirs and impoundments	1
4.1-3.	Typical distribution of flows under normal operations (i.e., excluding brief transition periods) among Narrows 2 Powerhouse (generation only), Partial Bypass, Full Bypass and Narrows 1 Powerhouse	4
4.1-4.	New Bullards Bar Reservoir flood storage space allocation in thousands of ac-ft	3
4.1-5.	Water right licenses held by YCWA for operation of the Project for power generation	4
4.1-6.	YCWA's annual contract amounts and places of delivery 4-2	5
4.1-7.	YCWA's water supply contract shortage provisions	7
4.1-8.	YCWA historical sales from 1987 to 20164-2	
4.2-1.	Primary Project Roads and Trails (non-recreation roads and trails) included in the Yuba River Development Project	0
4.2-2.	Recreation roads included in the Yuba River Development Project 4-6	1
4.2-3.	Proposed additions to Primary Project Roads and Trails (non-recreation roads and trails) included in Yuba River Development Project by Development	2
4.2-4.	Proposed additions to and withdrawals of Recreation Roads associated with the New Colgate Development. YCWA does not propose any changes to Recreation Roads and Trails associated with the New Bullards Bar Minimum Flow Development or the Narrows 2 Development	
4.2-5.	Summary of land ownership within the proposed Yuba River Development Project FERC Project Boundary by Project Development and difference as compared to existing FERC Project Boundary	7
4.2-6.	Smartsville hydrological index Water Year Types and associated thresholds	8
4.2-7.	North Yuba Index1 Schedules and associated thresholds4-6	8
4.2-8.	Proposed Project flow requirements for the Middle Yuba River downstream of Our House Diversion Dam by Smartsville hydrological index Water Year Type	9
4.2-9.	Proposed Project flow requirements for Oregon Creek downstream of Log Cabin Diversion Dam by Smartsville Hydrological Index Water Year Type	

Table No.	Description	Page No.
4.2-10.	Proposed Project Flow Requirements for the North Yuba Riv downstream of New Bullards Bar Dam by Smartsville Hydrological Ind. Water Year Type	ex
4.2-11.	Proposed Project whitewater boating flows below Our House Diversion Dam	
4.2-12.	Proposed Project flow requirements for the Yuba River downstream Narrows 2 Powerhouse and Narrows 2 Full Flow Bypass by North Yul Index Flow Schedule	ba
4.2-13.	Maximum flow reductions corresponding to the maximum 5-day average release (Base Flow) that has occurred during the period extending from September 1 through December 31	om
4.2-14.	Maximum flow reductions corresponding to the maximum 5-day average release (Base Flow) that has occurred during the period extending from January 1 through May 31.	ge om
4.2-15.	Maximum flow reductions corresponding to the preceding day average flow that has occurred during the period extending from April 1 through July 15	ge gh
4.2-16.	List of YCWA's proposed conditions and the Relicensing Participants the YCWA understands agree with YCWA's proposed conditions, provided in Appendix E2 of the Amended FLA. A green shaded relindicates a condition that YCWA and the Forest Service have reached tentative agreement on as a FPA § 4(e) potential condition. A yellow shaded row indicates a condition that YCWA and Cal Fish and Wildlife USFWS have reached tentative agreement on as a FPA § 10(j) potential recommendation. A white shaded row indicates a condition that has no been collaboratively agreed to between YCWA and agencies	as ow ed ow or ial
5.1-1.	Pacific Salmon EFH Identified by USGS Hydrologic Unit Code (HUGor the Yuba River (73 FR 60987)	
5.2-1.	Geomorphic reaches in the Yuba River downstream from Englebrig Dam	ht
5.2-2.	Hydrologic zone boundaries established for habitat modeling in the Yul River downstream from Englebright Dam	
6.1-1.	Lifestage-specific periodicities for fall-run Chinook salmon in the low Yuba River.	ver
6.1-2.	Fall-run Chinook salmon escapement estimates from 1994-2015 for rive in the California Central Valley (CDFW 2016)	
6.1-3.	Fall-run Chinook salmon abundance from 1996 through 2015 in the Sacramento River system.	he

Table No.	Description	Page No.
6.1-4.	Estimated number of phenotypic spring-run and fall-run Chinook salm spawning in the lower Yuba River from 2004 through 2015, a corresponding spring-run and fall-run Chinook salmon spawners report in GrandTab for Sacramento River system	and ted
6.2-1.	Lifestage-specific habitat concerns associated with Pacific Coast salm EFH (PFMC 1999)	
6.2-2.	Non-fishing threats to Pacific Coast salmon EFH. Newly identified thre appear in the right column. Detailed information on the threats identified in the first column can be found in Amendment 14	ied
7.3-1.	Length, frequency, width and depth of ground-mapped habitat units for North Yuba River – New Bullards Bar Reach (between the junction w the Middle Yuba River and New Bullards Bar Dam). The shaded cells a characteristics of pools that do not apply to non-pool habitat types	rith are
7.3-2.	Summary of ground mapped data for the North Yuba River – New Bullards Bar Reach (between the junction with the Middle Yuba River a New Bullards Bar Dam)	ınd
7.3-3.	Summary of minimum and maximum daily average water temperatur (°C) by month in the upper Yuba River watershed potentially affected the Proposed Action during WYs 2009 through 2012. Shaded ce indicate values over 20°C (68°F)	by ells
7.3-4.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedar probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon lifestages under Environmental Baseline scenario, relative to the Without-Project scenario	the
7.3-5.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedar probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon lifestages under Environmental Baseline scenario, relative to the Without-Project scenario	the
7.3-6.	Length, frequency, width and depth of ground-mapped habitat units in Middle Yuba River – Oregon Creek and Our House Diversion Da Reaches (between the junction with North Yuba River to Our House Diversion Dam). The shaded cells are characteristics of pools that do apply to non-pool habitat types	am use not
7.3-7.	Reach summary of ground mapped data for the Middle Yuba River Oregon Creek and Our House Diversion Dam Reaches (between junction with North Yuba River to Our House Diversion Dam)	the
7.3-8.	Length, frequency, width and depth of ground-mapped habitat units for Mainstem Yuba River – New Colgate Powerhouse and Middle/No Yuba River Reaches (between the New Colgate Powerhouse and Middle/North Yuba junction). The shaded cells are characteristics of pothat do not apply to non-pool habitat types	orth the ols

Table No.	Description	Page No.
7.3-9.	Summary of ground mapped data for the Mainstem Yuba River – Ne Colgate Powerhouse and Middle/North Yuba River Reaches (between the New Colgate Powerhouse and the Middle/North Yuba junction)	ne
7.3-10.	Spring-run Chinook salmon lifestage-specific upper tolerable wat temperature index values.	
7.3-11.	Fall-run Chinook salmon lifestage-specific upper tolerable wat temperature index values.	
7.3-12.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedance probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Environmental Baseline, relative to the Without-Project scenario	ne
7.3-13.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedance probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Environmental Baseline, relative to the Without-Project scenario	ne
7.4-1.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedance probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Environmental Baseline scenario, relative to the Without-Project scenario	ne
7.4-2.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedance probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Environmental Baseline scenario, relative to the Without-Project scenario	ne
7.4-3.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon spawning WU (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Baseline and Withou Project scenarios.	ıt-
7.4-4.	Long-term and WYT average fall-run Chinook salmon spawning WU (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Baseline and Withou Project scenarios.	ıt-
7.4-5.	Number and proportion of newly-built Chinook salmon redds observed the reaches upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2009 and 201 weekly redd surveys used to derive the temporal weighting coefficien (wd) for the spring-run Chinook salmon redd dewatering indices	10 ts
7.4-6.	Number and proportion of newly-built Chinook salmon redds observed morphological units located upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2009 and 2010 weekly redd surveys used as the spatial weighting coefficients (wh).	ne ng
7.4-7.	Number and proportion of newly-built Chinook salmon redds observed the reaches upstream of Daguerre Point Dam during the 2009 and 201 weekly redd surveys used to derive the temporal weighting coefficien (wdU and wdD) for the fall-run Chinook salmon redd dewatering indices	10 ts

Table No.	Description	Page No.
7.4-8.	Number and proportion of newly-built Chinook salmon redds observed morphological units located upstream (UP) and downstream (DOWN Daguerre Point Dam during the 2009 and 2010 weekly redd surveys in the calculation of the spatial weighting coefficients wh U and whe the fall-run Chinook salmon redd dewatering indices	N) of used D in
7.4-9.	Look-up stage-discharge table for Chinook salmon redds in morphologunits located upstream of Daguerre Point Dam. Yellow highlighted contain stages calculated using the procedure described in text	cells
7.4-10.	Look-up stage-discharge table for Chinook salmon redds in morphologunits located downstream of Daguerre Point Dam. Yellow highlig cells contain stages calculated using the procedure described in text	ghted
7.4-11.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket pote dewatering under the Environmental Baseline scenario relative to Without-Project scenario.	the
7.4-12.	Estimated fall-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket pote dewatering under the Environmental Baseline scenario relative to Without-Project scenario.	the
7.5-1.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceed probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon lifestages under Environmental Baseline scenario, relative to the Without-Project scenario	the
7.5-2.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceed probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon lifestages under Environmental Baseline scenario, relative to the Without-Project scenario	the
7.5-3.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon fry in-charcering WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Base and Without-Project scenarios.	eline
7.5-4.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environme Baseline and Without-Project scenarios.	ental
7.5-5.	Long-term and WYT average fall-run Chinook salmon fry in-charcering WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Base and Without-Project scenarios.	eline
7.5-6.	Long-term and WYT average fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-charearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Environmental Base and Without-Project scenarios.	eline
7.5-7.	Acres of spring-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (W without cover under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Prescenarios over the 41-year period of evaluation for days when flows ≤ 5,000 cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs, and the differe between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period by water year type.	oject were ences l and

Table No.	Description	Page No.
7.5-8.	Acres of spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable at (WUA) without cover under the Environmental Baseline and the Without Project scenarios over the 41-year period of evaluation for days who flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were $\geq 5,000$ cfs, and the differences between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulating period and by water year type.	ut- en he on
7.5-9.	Acres of fall-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (WU without cover under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Projescenarios over the 41-year period of evaluation for days when flows we ≤ 5,000 cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs, and the difference between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period a by water year type	ect ere ees nd
7.5-10.	Acres of fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WU without cover under the Environmental Baseline and the Without-Projescenarios over the 41-year period of evaluation for days when flows we ≤ 5,000 cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs, and the difference between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period a by water year type.	ect ere ces nd
8.2-1.	Simulated median monthly Environmental Baseline and Without-Projet flows for October 1969 through September 2010 for the North Yuba Rivdownstream of New Bullards Bar Dam by WYT	ect ⁄er
8.2-2.	Simulated median monthly Environmental Baseline and Without-Proje flows for October 1969 through September 2010 for the Middle Yu River upstream of the North Yuba River confluence by WYT	ba
8.2-3.	Simulated median monthly Environmental Baseline and Without-Projections for October 1969 through September 2010 for the Yuba Rivedownstream of the Middle and North Yuba River confluence by WYT	ver
8.2-4.	Simulated median monthly Environmental Baseline and Without-Proje flows for October 1969 through September 2010 for the Yuba Riv downstream of the New Colgate Powerhouse by WYT	ver
8.2-5.	Chinook salmon stressors and associated magnitudes in the lower Yu River under the Environmental Baseline.	
8.3-1.	Flows in the North Yuba River below New Bullards Bar Dam under t Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline.	
8.3-2.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedant probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon adult migration and holdi lifestages under the Proposed Action, relative to the Environmen Baseline.	nce ng tal
8.3-3.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedant probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon adult migration lifestages und the Proposed Action, relative to the Environmental Baseline	ice ler

Table No.	Description Pa	ge No.
8.3-4.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedance probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon spawning and embryo incubation lifestages under the Proposed Action, relative to the Environmental Baseline.	8-35
8.3-5.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedance probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon spawning and embryo incubation lifestages under the Proposed Action, relative to the Environmental Baseline.	8-36
8.3-6.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedance probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile lifestages under the Proposed Action, relative to the Environmental Baseline.	8-36
8.3-7.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedance probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile lifestages under the Proposed Action, relative to the Environmental Baseline.	8-37
8.3-8.	Flows in the Middle Yuba River above the Yuba River under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline.	8-41
8.3-9.	Turbidity readings and locations during the sediment passage event	8-44
8.3-10.	Flows in the Yuba River below the Middle Yuba River under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline.	8-49
8.3-11.	Flows in the Yuba River above New Colgate Powerhouse under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline.	8-50
8.3-12.	Flows in the Yuba River below New Colgate Powerhouse under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline.	8-51
8.3-13.	Long-term and water year type average spring-run Chinook salmon spawning WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline.	8-57
8.3-14.	Long-term and water year type average fall-run Chinook salmon spawning WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline.	8-59
8.3-15.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Proposed Action relative to the Environmental Baseline.	8-61
8.3-16.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Proposed Action relative to the Environmental Baseline for those days in the 41-year period of record during which the flow reduction criteria specified in Proposed Condition AR9 would apply	
8.3-17.	Estimated fall-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket potential dewatering under the Proposed Action relative to the Environmental Baseline.	8-64

Page No. Table No. Estimated fall-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket potential 8.3-18. dewatering under the Proposed Action relative to the Environmental Baseline for those days in the 41-year period of record during which the flow reduction criteria specified in Proposed Condition AR9 would apply..... 8-65 8.3-19. Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline. 8-65 Long-term and WYT average fall-run Chinook salmon fry in-channel 8.3-20. rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and 8.3-21. Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile inchannel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action Long-term and WYT average fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-channel 8.3-22. rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Proposed Action and Environmental Baseline. 8-71 Spring-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (WUA) without 8.3-23. cover (in acres) under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation for days when flows were \leq 5,000 cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs, and the differences between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period and 8.3-24. Fall-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (WUA) without cover (in acres) under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation for days when flows were $\leq 5,000$ cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs, and the differences between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period and by water year type..... 8-75 8.3-25. Spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without cover (in acres) under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation for days when flows were \leq 5,000 cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs, and the differences between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period and by water year type. 8-77 Fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) without 8.3-26. cover (in acres) under the Proposed Action and the Environmental Baseline over the 41-year period of evaluation for days when flows were \leq 5,000 cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs, and the differences between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period and by water year type. 8-79

Table No.	Description	Page No.
8.3-27.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedan probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Propos Action relative to the Environmental Baseline.	ed
8.3-28.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedan probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Propos Action relative to the Environmental Baseline.	ed
8.3-29.	Allowable maximum flow reductions from the previous day average floduring the period extending from April 1 through July 15 (from Table 3 AR9)	in
8.4-1.	Exports of water from Yuba River Sub-basins from WY 1990 throu 2016 averaged by water year type.	_
8.4-2.	Long-term average flow and average flow by water year type in the Ne Bullards Bar Dam Reach of the North Yuba River under the Cumulati Condition, relative to the Environmental Baseline	ve
8.4-3.	Long-term average flow and average flow by water year type in t Middle Yuba River above the confluence with the North Yuba Riv under the Cumulative Condition, relative to the Environmental Baseline.	er
8.4-4.	Long-term average flow and average flow by water year type in the Yu River below the Middle Yuba River confluence under the Cumulati Condition, relative to the Environmental Baseline.	ve
8.4-5.	Long-term average flow and average flow by water year type in the Yu River above New Colgate Powerhouse under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environmental Baseline.	on,
8.4-6.	Long-term average flow and average flow by water year type in the Yu River below New Colgate Powerhouse under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environmental Baseline.	on,
8.4-7.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedan probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon adult migration and holdi lifestages under the Cumulative Condition, relative to the Environmen Baseline.	ng tal
8.4-8.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedant probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon adult migration lifestages und the Cumulative Condition, relative to the Environmental Baseline	ler
8.4-9.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedant probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon spawning and embrincubation lifestages under the Cumulative Condition, relative to the Environmental Baseline.	yo he
8.4-10.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedan probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon spawning and embrincubation lifestages under the Cumulative Condition, relative to t Environmental Baseline.	yo he

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
8.4-11.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceprobabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile lifestages ur Cumulative Condition, relative to the Environmental Baseline	nder the
8.4-12.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceprobabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile lifestages un Cumulative Condition, relative to the Environmental Baseline	der the
8.4-13.	Long-term and water year type average spring-run Chinook spawning WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Co and Environmental Baseline.	ondition
8.4-14.	Long-term and water year type average fall-run Chinook salmon sp WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condition Environmental Baseline.	on and
8.4-15.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket p dewatering under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environ Baseline.	nmental
8.4-16.	Estimated spring-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket p dewatering under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environ Baseline for those days in the 33-year period of record during wh flow reduction criteria specified in Proposed Condition AR9 would	nmental nich the
8.4-17.	Estimated fall-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket p dewatering under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environ Baseline.	nmental
8.4-18.	Estimated fall-run Chinook salmon redd and egg pocket p dewatering under the Cumulative Condition relative to the Environ Baseline for those days corresponding to the specific conditions which the flow reductions specified in Proposed Condition AR9 ap-	nmental during
8.4-19.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon fry in- rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condit Environmental Baseline.	ion and
8.4-20.	Spring-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (WUA) cover (in acres) under the Cumulative Condition and the Environ Baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation for days when flows 5,000 cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs, and the diff between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation per by water year type.	without nmental were ≤ erences iod and
8.4-21.	Long-term and WYT average spring-run Chinook salmon juve channel rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cun Condition and Environmental Baseline.	nulative

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
8.4-22.	Spring-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) with cover (in acres) under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmen Baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation for days when flows w ≤5,000 cfs and for days when flows were >5,000 cfs, and the different between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period aby water year type	ntal vere aces and
8.4-23.	Long-term and WYT average fall-run Chinook salmon fry in-chan rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condition a Environmental Baseline.	and
8.4-24.	Fall-run Chinook salmon fry weighted usable area (WUA) without co (in acres) under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmental Basel over the 33-year period of evaluation for days when flows were ≤5,000 and for days when flows were >5,000 cfs, and the differences between two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period and by water y type	line cfs the year
8.4-25.	Long-term and WYT average fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile in-chan rearing WUA (percent of maximum) under the Cumulative Condition a Environmental Baseline.	and
8.4-26.	Fall-run Chinook salmon juvenile weighted usable area (WUA) with cover (in acres) under the Cumulative Condition and the Environmen Baseline over the 33-year period of evaluation for days when flows wer 5,000 cfs and for days when flows were > 5,000 cfs, and the different between the two scenarios over the long-term full simulation period aby water year type.	ntal re ≤ aces and
8.4-27.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedar probabilities for spring-run Chinook salmon lifestages under Cumulative Condition relative to the Environmental Baseline	the
8.4-28.	Difference in simulated upper tolerable water temperature exceedar probabilities for fall-run Chinook salmon lifestages under the Cumulat Condition relative to the Environmental Baseline	

List of Attachments

None.

Yuba County Water Agency Yuba River Development Project FERC Project No. 2246

Page Left Blank